Universal and human-Centered design for accessible smart education

Andreea-Gabriela NISTORESCU (MARINESCU),
"Ion Mincu" University of Architecture and Urban Planning – Doctoral School of Architecture ,Bucharest, Romania
andreea.g.nistorescu@gmail.com

Abstract
In recent years, the design of educational spaces has taken on a new dimension, evolving from traditional classrooms to environments that not only serve as places of learning but also as inclusive ecosystems. Imagine a school where every child, regardless of ability, feels welcome and supported where each classroom is a space that adapts to the unique needs of its students. Such a vision is not only aspirational but achievable through the thoughtful application of universal and human-centered design principles. These approaches, which prioritize inclusivity and accessibility, aim to transform educational architecture into a foundation for equitable learning experiences. Educational institutions that integrate these principles are not simply improving infrastructure, they are fostering communities where learning is accessible to all. This transformation is particularly vital for students with disabilities, who often face challenges in navigating conventional learning spaces. Here, universal design and human-centered methodologies present a way forward, bridging the gap between architectural form and individual needs, and opening the doors to meaningful engagement for every learner. This study explores the implementation of these inclusive principles within the context of Smart Education, examining the physical and digital accessibility enhancements that result from their integration. Through case studies and insights from educators and architects, this research seeks to illustrate how design can shape resilient and adaptable learning environments, building a pathway toward a more inclusive educational future. By examining these spaces, we see not only buildings but the creation of opportunity and equity, a testament to the power of thoughtful design in fostering accessible learning communities for all.

Keywords: universal design, accessibility, inclusive learning.

1. Introduction

Education is not merely the transfer of knowledge but the cultivation of individuals who can adapt, innovate, and contribute meaningfully to society. As pedagogical theories evolve, so must the spaces in which learning occurs. Traditionally, educational environments have often been designed using a one-size-fits-all approach, emphasizing uniformity over individuality and accessibility. Such spaces, while functional for some, fail to address the diverse needs of modern learners, particularly those with disabilities or different abilities. This disparity poses a fundamental challenge to the notion of equitable education: *How can we claim to provide equal opportunities for all when the infrastructure of learning marginalizes certain groups?*

This question is at the heart of contemporary discussions on educational architecture and its role in fostering inclusivity. The concept of universal design (UD), initially rooted in creating accessible spaces for individuals with disabilities, has expanded into a philosophy that promotes usability and equity for all users, regardless of age, ability, or background.

Complementing this is human-centered design (HCD), which prioritizes empathy and user experience, ensuring that architectural and digital solutions resonate with the lived experiences of their intended audience. Together, these principles challenge architects,

educators, and policymakers to reimagine schools not as static buildings but as dynamic ecosystems where physical, cognitive, and emotional needs converge.

Incorporating universal and human-centered design principles into educational environments is not merely a technical endeavor; it is also a moral imperative. It aligns with global movements such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 4, which emphasizes inclusive and equitable quality education. However, the implementation of these principles remains inconsistent across the globe and is influenced by factors such as economic disparity, cultural attitudes, and the pace of technological adoption. In this regard, Nordic countries renowned for their commitment to social equity, innovation, and environmental sustainability offer a compelling model. Their educational spaces exemplify how thoughtful design can integrate accessibility, adaptability, and aesthetics, thereby creating environments that nurture all learners.

This study explores the transformative potential of universal and human-centered design in education, focusing on both physical and digital spaces. The discussion begins by examining the theoretical foundations of these design philosophies and situating them within broader architectural and educational contexts.

At its core, this exploration is driven by the recognition that inclusivity is not a fixed state, but a continuous process. Schools must evolve alongside societal and technological changes to address emerging needs while preserving their core purpose as spaces for learning and growth. The transition to smart education, characterized by the integration of digital technologies into teaching and learning, further underscores the importance of inclusivity. While digital tools have immense potential to enhance accessibility, they also risk exacerbating inequities if not designed with universal principles in mind. This duality underscores the need for a holistic approach that bridges the physical and digital realms, ensuring that no learners are left behind.

1.1. Reimagining learning environments

The integration of Universal Design (UD) and Human-Centered Design (HCD) into Romania's educational system for preschool and primary school learners represents not only a technical advancement but also a profound philosophical shift toward equity and opportunity. Early childhood education lays the groundwork for lifelong learning, creativity, and emotional resilience, making the design of these spaces a matter of ethical responsibility and architectural ingenuity. From an architectural perspective, these spaces must transcend their functions as mere containers for educational activities and evolve into dynamic environments that inspire curiosity, inclusivity, and collaboration. They must embody the principles of adaptability and sensory engagement, with every detail from the scale of furniture to the interplay of light and texture crafted to support the developmental needs of young learners. Philosophically, the inclusion of UD and HCD in Romanian schools underscores their commitment to creating spaces that resonate with empathy and celebrate diversity. Such spaces must respond to the complexities of young learners' physical, cognitive, and emotional growth, offering environments where differences are not barriers, but catalysts for innovation. The Nordic example serves as a compelling model where spatial design integrates modular elements, sustainable materials, and interactive

technologies to create what could be termed living classrooms. These classrooms adapt in real time to the needs of their users, a principle Romania can adopt to ensure that the built environment itself becomes a participant in the learning process. Technically, the architectural application of UD and HCD for grades 1 - 4 in Romania requires reimagining how design interacts with pedagogy. For instance, the inclusion of flexible layouts that accommodate group activities, quiet spaces for individual focus, and accessible pathways reflects the diverse rhythms of young learners' day-to-day interactions. Lighting design, both natural and artificial, must consider visual comfort and circadian alignment, whereas acoustic treatments ensure auditory clarity without overwhelming sensory sensitivities. Digital integration, a cornerstone of smart education, must extend beyond screens to include tactile and immersive learning tools that enable children to interact with their environment in ways that are intuitive and engaging. Emotionally, such spaces offer sanctuaries for children in places where they feel safe to explore, express, and evolve. By weaving architectural precision with a pedagogical vision, Romanian classrooms can become microcosms of inclusivity and creativity. The future of education lies in creating environments that foster the "capacity to innovate" and adapt, moving beyond traditional models that emphasize conformity.

Chirimbu underscores the transformative power of creativity in education, stating, "Creativity is a major cross-curricular goal in education. The optimal management of the gigantic pool of information that we have available and the creation of new associations between ideas, new concepts, cannot be accomplished in the absence of creativity. A major goal of school today is to obtain specific educational outcomes, based on creativity, being its direct responsibility to stimulate the creative potential of the students [1]".

He identifies key elements such as stimulating divergent thinking, fostering flexibility and originality, and promoting collaborative learning environments as essential for cultivating creativity. Moreover, the educational process must empower students to overcome barriers to creativity, including perceptive, cultural, and emotional obstacles, such as the fear of error and peer judgment.

These insights align seamlessly with Universal Design and Human-Centered Design principles, which advocate for adaptable, inclusive spaces that nurture innovation and emotional resilience. By integrating this strategies into educational architecture, we can create environments that inspire curiosity and collaboration, equipping students with the creative skills needed to thrive in a rapidly changing world.

1.2. Design question

Integrating Universal Design (UD) and Human-Centered Design (HCD) into Romania's early education system demands a strategic vision focused on inclusivity, adaptability, and forward-thinking pedagogy. The primary goal is to create spaces that not only meet but also anticipate the needs of future learners, thus enabling both teachers and students to thrive in increasingly dynamic learning environments. This involves redefining classrooms as spaces in which accessibility intersects with innovation, leveraging both digital tools and tactile experiences to foster creativity, empathy, and adaptability. For example, the Nordic education model's emphasis on experiential learning provides a blueprint for how

architectural flexibility and pedagogical innovation can coexist to meet diverse learner needs.

In the article Nonformal learning - a key concept for smart education by Roxana Andreea Andrei, the significance of nonformal education within the framework of Smart Education is highlighted, stating, “Nonformal education is characterized by flexibility and participation, but less standardized, and more receptive to the needs of the local community” [2].

The author argues that nonformal education provides diverse learning opportunities, enabling individuals to acquire critical skills through experiential practices and technological integration. The article also draws attention to the Nordic education model, emphasizing principles such as decentralization, experiential education, and inclusivity as pillars for educational transformation. These models illustrate how combining formal and nonformal approaches can equip learners with the tools to thrive in a rapidly evolving urban and technological landscape.

To ensure that the integration of advanced technologies enhances educational experiences without undermining essential interpersonal connections, it is important to approach their implementation carefully. This leads me to the following critical question: *How can educational spaces balance the integration of advanced technologies, such as augmented reality and interactive multimedia, while maintaining human connections essential for early cognitive and emotional development?*

The answer lies in a thoughtful design philosophy that prioritizes human experience within educational spaces. Architectural environments should be conceived as adaptive frameworks, in which technology serves as a facilitator of interaction rather than a replacement for personal engagement. This means that, while augmented reality and interactive multimedia can enhance learning by providing immersive experiences and fostering engagement, they must be integrated in ways that encourage collaboration and face-to-face interaction among students.

1.3. Strategic approaches

Developing inclusive educational environments through Universal Design (UD) and Human-Centered Design (HCD) requires strategies that seamlessly align spatial qualities with pedagogical objectives, emphasizing user experience. Architectural spaces, as Winston Churchill famously observed, shape how individuals interact and grow within them. This profound relationship between space and behavior highlights the need for learning environments that actively nurture creativity, exploration, and collaboration, particularly among early learners. Following Christopher Alexander’s principles in A Pattern Language (1977) [3], classrooms must be flexible and adaptable, incorporating modular layouts, transformable furniture, and movable partitions to accommodate a variety of teaching styles and learning activities. Such designs allow for dynamic environments that effortlessly transition between focused individual work and collaborative group projects. Moreover, fostering sensory-rich experiences is essential to engaging young learners. As Herman Hertzberger emphasized in Lessons for Students in Architecture

(1991) [4], the design of educational spaces must stimulate the senses, using textures, colors, and lighting to create environments that inspire curiosity and creativity. Natural light, acoustic balance, and varied tactile materials can enrich the learning process, while enhancing comfort and cognitive engagement. Similarly, Ken Robinson in Creative Schools (2015) [5], argues that creativity flourishes when students have a sense of autonomy in their learning environment. Customizable zones, such as interactive maker spaces, reading corners, or collaborative hubs, empower children to take ownership of their surroundings and foster active participation and innovative thinking. Integrating biophilic design principles, as articulated by Stephen Kellert in Biophilic Design (2008) [6], further strengthens the connection between built environment and well-being. Incorporating natural elements such as green walls, outdoor classrooms, and open courtyards not only enhances aesthetic quality but also reduces stress and promotes engagement. These strategies transform classrooms into living ecosystem spaces in which children can thrive academically, socially, and emotionally.

As Christopher Alexander aptly states, "Every building, every room, every garden is better when it becomes a small society of its own" [3], a sentiment that resonates deeply with the vision of inclusive education.

By embedding these strategies into Romania's early education system, architects and educators have the opportunity to create environments that transcend mere functionality. Thoughtful design elevates classrooms into creative and inclusive ecosystems, allowing every student to grow, imagine, and contribute meaningfully to a rapidly changing world. This approach emphasizes the importance of fostering a sense of belonging and engagement, where diverse learning styles and experiences are valued, thereby preparing students for the complexities of the future.

1.4. UD, HCD, and IoT

The intersection of Universal Design (UD), Human-Centered Design (HCD), and Internet of Things (IoT) technologies marks a pivotal evolution in the architecture of educational spaces. These approaches converge to create environments that are not only inclusive and accessible but also intelligent and responsive, addressing the diverse needs of learners while fostering creativity and engagement [7] outlines how spaces can integrate design solutions that adapt to human variability, emphasizing accessibility without compromising aesthetic or functional excellence. Similarly, Anne Meyer and David Rose, in Universal Design for Learning: Theory and Practice (2014) [8], demonstrate how UD principles optimize teaching and learning by embracing diversity and leveraging technology for personalized experiences.

IoT technology amplifies these frameworks, transforming classrooms into dynamic ecosystems that respond to real-time data. For example, IoT enabled systems can adjust lighting, acoustics, and ventilation to create optimal learning conditions for all students, embodying the empathetic focus central to HCD. Such innovations align with the principles of adaptability outlined in Stephen Kellert's Biophilic Design (2008) [6], which emphasizes the psychological and cognitive benefits of integrating nature into built environments. By combining IoT with modular architectural elements and biophilic

strategies, educational spaces can evolve into adaptive systems that support creativity and well-being.

These future-oriented spaces redefine education as a collaborative and sensory-rich experience. By blending the human-centric approach of HCD, the inclusivity of UD, and the technological power of IoT, architects and educators can create environments that are deeply functional and emotionally resonant. This vision underscores the critical role of architecture as an enabler of inclusive, innovative, and transformative education for generations to come.

1.5. Crafting inclusive learning environments

The design of educational spaces serves as a canvas where the interplay of furniture, texture, color, graphics, and sound creates a rich sensory environment that nurtures learning and personal growth. Thoughtfully curated furniture acts as the backbone of these spaces, facilitating not only functional arrangements but also fostering interaction and engagement among students. Modular and flexible seating options allow for dynamic configurations that encourage collaboration and adaptability, essential qualities in modern pedagogical practices. As philosopher Gaston Bachelard suggests in The Poetics of Space, the way we inhabit spaces profoundly influences our experiences and memories; thus, the design must resonate emotionally with learners, creating a sense of belonging.

Texture and color serve as vital elements that contribute to the emotional landscape of educational environments. Soft, tactile materials and warm, inviting colors can evoke feelings of comfort and safety, essential for young learners who require nurturing atmospheres to thrive. In contrast, bright and stimulating colors can ignite creativity and enthusiasm, serving as visual stimuli that invigorate the learning experience. Moreover, the integration of graphics such as educational wall art and interactive displays enhances spatial identity and encourages exploration, aligning with principles of Human-Centered Design by appealing directly to students' needs and interests.

Accessibility is a foundational principle that must underpin all design choices, ensuring that every student can navigate and engage with the space. Employing non-slip surfaces, tactile pathways, and ergonomically designed furniture not only accommodates learners with disabilities but also fosters a universally welcoming atmosphere. The architectural ethos of inclusivity extends to sound design, where acoustically treated environments minimize distractions and enhance auditory clarity, allowing learners to immerse themselves fully in their educational pursuits. By harmonizing these sensory elements, educational spaces transform into vibrant ecosystems that inspire creativity, collaboration, and a profound sense of community, cultivating an environment where every learner can flourish.

1.6. Classrooms as mini ecosystems of learning

Educational design serves as a canvas where the interplay of furniture, texture, color, graphics and sound creates a rich sensory environment that fosters learning and personal growth. Thoughtfully curated furniture acts as the backbone of these spaces, facilitating not only functional arrangements but also fostering interaction and engagement among

students. Modular and flexible seating options allow for dynamic configurations that encourage collaboration and adaptability, essential qualities in modern pedagogical practices.

As philosopher Gaston Bachelard suggests in The Poetics of Space, “The house is a tool for our memory” / “ La maison est un outil pour notre mémoire” [9].

This insight emphasizes that educational design must resonate emotionally with learners, fostering a sense of belonging and connection. Spaces designed with this philosophy can become not just functional environments but transformative ones, where students feel nurtured and inspired to engage with their surroundings.

Texture and color are integral elements that shape the emotional landscape of educational environments. Soft, tactile materials and warm, inviting colors evoke comfort and safety, essential for young learners who need nurturing atmospheres to thrive. Conversely, vibrant and stimulating colors ignite creativity and enthusiasm, acting as visual stimuli that invigorate the learning experience. The inclusion of graphics, such as educational wall art and interactive displays, further enhances spatial identity and encourages exploration. This aligns with Human-Centered Design principles by addressing students' psychological and emotional needs, making learning environments not only accessible but also inspiring.

Accessibility is a foundational principle that must underpin all design choices, ensuring that every student can navigate and engage with the space. Employing non-slip surfaces, tactile pathways, and ergonomically designed furniture not only accommodates learners with disabilities but also fosters a universally welcoming atmosphere. The architectural ethos of inclusivity extends to sound design, where acoustically treated environments minimize distractions and enhance auditory clarity, allowing learners to immerse themselves fully in their educational pursuits. By harmonizing these sensory elements, educational spaces transform into vibrant ecosystems that inspire creativity, collaboration, and a profound sense of community, cultivating an environment where every learner can flourish.

2.Conclusions

Concluding this paper, I firmly believe that the new challenges we face in contemporary education reveal significant discrepancies while simultaneously opening new possibilities for learning. In conclusion, this paper emphasizes the vital role of designing educational spaces that prioritize flexibility, sensory engagement, and inclusivity through Universal Design (UD) and Human-Centered Design (HCD). By incorporating diverse textures, colors, and soundscapes, we can create classrooms that feel welcoming and alive, becoming thriving ecosystems where every student is empowered to grow and express themselves.

Universal Design ensures that all learners, regardless of their abilities, feel included and valued. Meanwhile, Human-Centered Design helps us understand students’ experiences, guiding us to create environments that resonate with their needs and emotions.

References

[1] S. Chirimbu, "Creativity as an urban term in the contemporary smart cities' education," *Smart Cities International Conference (SCIC) Proceedings*, vol. 2, pp. 82-84, 2023.

[2] R. A. Andrei, "Nonformal learning – a key concept for smart education," in *Smart Cities International Conference (SCIC) Proceedings*, 2023.

[3] C. Alexander, S. Ishikawa, M. Silverstein, M. Jacobson, I. Fiksdahl-King and S. Angel, A Pattern Language: Towns, Buildings, Construction, New York: Oxford University Press, 1977, pp. 463-467.

[4] H. Hertzberger, Lessons for Students in Architecture, Delft: Nai010 Publishers, 1991.

[5] K. Robinson and L. Aronica, Creative Schools: Revolutionizing Education from the Ground Up, Allen Lane, 2015.

[6] S. R. Kellert, J. Heerwagen and M. Mador, Biophilic Design: The Theory, Science and Practice of Bringing Buildings to Life, Wiley, 2008.

[7] W. F. E. Preiser, Universal Design Handbook, 2 ed., McGraw Hill, 2010.

[8] A. Meyer, D. H. Rose and D. Gordon, Universal Design for Learning: Theory & Practice, CAST Professional Publishing, 2014.

[9] G. Bachelard, The Poetics of Space / La Poétique de l'Espace, France: Presses Universitaires de France, 1994.